UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,544	11/24/2003	Hung-Yang Chang	00280755AA	1272
	7590 04/11/200 URTIS & CHRISTOFI	EXAMINER		
11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD			RECEK, JASON D	
SUITE 340 RESTON, VA 2	20190		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2142	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/718,544	CHANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JASON RECEK	2142	

		O/ (O O IT TREGETY	2172
The MAILING DAT	E of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>March 24<sup>th</sup></u>	2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.
application, applicant mus application in condition fo	st timely file one of the following i r allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request within one of the following time
	piresmonths from the mailing		
no event, however, will t Examiner Note: If box 1	the statutory period for reply expire la is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	in the final rejection, whichever is later. In g date of the final rejection.  FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained have been filed is the date for purpunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated set forth in (b) above, if checked. A	oses of determining the period of ext from: (1) the expiration date of the s	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	36(a) and the appropriate extension fee of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as the of the final rejection, even if timely filed,
	filed on . A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal	l (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter		avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
(a)☐ They raise new issu		out prior to the date of filing a brief, nsideration and/or search (see NOT w)	
(c) They are not deeme appeal; and/or	ed to place the application in bett	ter form for appeal by materially red	
NOTE: (Se	ee 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	
<u> </u>	t in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 ercome the following rejection(s):	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amer	= -		timely filed amendment canceling the
how the new or amended The status of the claim(s) Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3, 8-	claims would be rejected is provise (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from on AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDE			
8. 🔲 The affidavit or other evid	ence filed after a final action, but to provide a showing of good and	t before or on the date of filing a No I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered it or other evidence is necessary and
entered because the affid showing a good and suffice	avit or other evidence failed to o cient reasons why it is necessary	and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails to provide a ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evine REQUEST FOR RECONSIDER</li> </ol>	•	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
	leration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Inform 13. ☐ Other:	nation <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/Andrew Caldwell/ Supervisory Patent Examin	er, Art Unit 2142		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments concerning the 101 rejection are addressed on pg. 3 of the Office Action dated 1/25/08. Regarding the 103 rejection, applicant is arguing features not in the claims. Specifically applicant is relying on providing different views to team members (pg. 7), however claim 1 does not require the views to be different. Applicant also argues that Ruths and Danso do not teach "a view that displays in a graphical user interface ... at least one of role players, online status, e-meeting links, and discussion threads" as required by claim 1, this is address on pg. 4-5 of the last Office Action. Applicant's arguments with respect to the dependent claims are all addressed in the last Office Action pgs. 5-7. Applicant also states that the Danso reference was raised for the first time in the last Office Action. It is noted this reference was necessitated by Applicant's previous amendment on 9/21/07. See MPEP 706.07.